



#### Administrivia

- Snafu on books
  - Probably best to buy it elsewhere
- Paper assignment and first homework
  - Next week (9/24)



# Cryptography in Use

- Provides foundation for security services
- But can it bootstrap itself?
  - Must establish shared key
  - Straightforward plan
    - One side generates key
    - Transmits key to other side
    - But how?



## Two Problems

- Peer-to-peer key sharing
- Prob 1: Known peer, insecure channel
- Prob 2: Secure channel, unknown peer



# Security Through Obscurity?

- Caesar ciphers
  - Very simple permutation
  - Only 25 different cases
  - Relies strictly on no one knowing the method



#### **Passwords**

- Reduces permutation space to key space
- Caesar cipher: one-letter "key"
- 10-letter key for MSC reduces 26! (~4x10<sup>20</sup>) to <sub>26</sub>C<sub>10</sub> (~2x10<sup>13</sup>)
- 8-byte key for DES reduces  $2^{64}!$  (~ $10^{10^{200}}$ ) to  $2^{56}$  (~ $10^{17}$ )



## The Enigma Machine

- Broken first by Polish, then by English
  - Not as easily as widely regarded
- Weaknesses in key distribution
  - Day keys plus scramblers
  - "Session keys" encrypted in duplicate
  - Enigma did not use OFB/CFB



## Peer-to-Peer Distribution

- Technically easy
- But it doesn't scale
  - Hundreds of servers...
  - Times thousands of users...
  - Yields ~ million keys
- Centralized key server
  - Needham-Schroeder



## Basic Idea

- User sends request to KDC: {s}
- KDC generates a random key: K<sub>c.s</sub>
  - Encrypted twice: {K<sub>c,s</sub>}K<sub>c</sub>, {K<sub>c,s</sub>}K<sub>s</sub>
  - Typically called ticket and credentials, resp
  - Ticket forwarded with application request
- No keys ever traverse net in the clear



#### Problem #1

- How does user know session key is encrypted for the server? And vice versa?
- Attacker intercepts initial request, and substitutes own name for server
  - Can now read all of user's messages intended for server



#### Solution #1

- Add names to ticket, credentials
  - Request looks like {c, s}
  - $\{K_{c,s}, s\}K_c \text{ and } \{K_{c,s}, c\}K_s, \text{ resp}$
- Both sides can verify intended target for key sharing
- This is basic Needham-Schroeder



#### Problem #2

- How can user and server know that session key is fresh?
- Attacker intercepts and records old KDC reply, then inserts this in response to future requests
  - Can now read all traffic between user and server



#### Solution #2

- Add nonces to ticket, credentials
  - Request looks like {c, s, n}
  - ${lue{ }}{ \{ K_{c,s}, s, n \} K_c \text{ and } \{ K_{c,s}, c, n \} K_s }$
- Client can now check that reply made in response to current request



#### Problem #3

- User now trusts credentials
- But can server trust user?
- How can server tell this isn't a replay?
- Legitimate user makes electronic payment to attacker; attacker replays message to get paid multiple times
  - Requires no knowledge of session key



## Solution #3

- Add challenge-response
  - Server generates second random nonce
  - Sends to client, encrypted in session key
  - Client must decrypt, decrement, encrypt
- Effective, but adds second round of messages



#### Problem #4

- What happens if attacker does get session key?
  - Answer: Can reuse old session key to answer challenge-response, generate new requests, etc



#### Solution #4

- Replace (or supplement) nonce in request/reply with timestamp [Denning, Sacco]
  - $\bullet$  {K<sub>c,s</sub>, s, n, t}K<sub>c</sub> and {K<sub>c,s</sub>, c, n, t}K<sub>s</sub>, resp
  - Also send {t}K<sub>c.s</sub> as authenticator
    - Prevents replay without employing second round of messages as in challenge-response



#### Problem #5

- Each client request yields new knownplaintext pairs
- Attacker can sit on the network, harvest client request and KDC replies



## Solution #5

- Introduce Ticket Granting Server (TGS)
  - Daily ticket plus session keys
  - (How is this different from Enigma?!)
- TGS+AS = KDC
  - This is modified Needham-Schroeder
  - Basis for Kerberos



#### Problem #6

- Active attacker can obtain arbitrary numbers of known-plaintext pairs
  - Can then mount dictionary attack at leisure
  - Exacerbated by bad password selection



#### Solution #6

- Preauthentication
  - Establish weak authentication for user before KDC replies
  - Examples
    - Password-encrypted timestamp
    - Hardware authentication
    - Single-use key



## **Public Key Distribution**

- Public key can be public!
  - How does either side know who and what the key is for? Private agreement? (Not scalable.)
- Must delegate trust
  - Why?
  - How?



## Certification Infrastructures

- Public keys represented by certificates
- Certificates signed by other certificates
  - User delegates trust to trusted certificates
  - Certificate chains transfer trust up several links



## **Examples**

- PGP
  - "Web of Trust"
  - Can model as connected digraph of signers
- X.500
  - Hierarchical model: tree (or DAG?)
  - (But X.509 certificates use ASN.1!