CS530 Key Management & Distribution Issues (Part 2)

Bill Cheng

http://merlot.usc.edu/cs530-s10



Copyright © William C. Cheng

Key Management & Distribution Issues

Practical issues

- how to carry them
 - o passwords vs. disks vs. smartcards
- where do they stay, where do they go
- how many do you have
- how do you get them to begin with
- Classes of crypto
 - which type is right for your application
- Who needs strong secrets?
- How do you recover from exposed keys?
- Miscellaneous issues
 - security architectures



🗕 Copyright © William C. Cheng

Key Management Overview

- Key management is where much security weakness lies
 - what types of keys to use for a system and how to choose keys
 - want large key entropy (amount of randomness in keys) nobody uses rot13 -- (inverse is itself!)
 - example of weak protocal: WEP
 - really short keys: PIN
 - verifiable plaintext attacks
 - Ex: Does this look like English?
 - If plaintext contains a checksum, great! Let's automate the attack!
 - o known plaintext attacks
 - **Ex: precomputed dictionary attack**
 - need to salt the password (then can only use dictionary attack)

🛏 Copyright © William C. Cheng 🛛

Key Management Overview (Cont...)

where do you store the keys?

- floppy disks, USB harddrives (can be encrypted)
- smartcard
 - -- key never leaves card
 - -- not vulnerable to even keyboard sniffer
 - not popular in US, probably because high costs (cost of cards + cost of infrastructure)
 - -- variety of smartcards: tamper proof, tamper resistant, tamper evident (tamper evident is good enough for end users)
- o post-it note?

Key Management Overview (Cont...)

- how do you communicate about keys (key distribution)?
 - o conventional: KDC
 - single key shared by both parties
 - generate and distribute keys
 - bind names to shared keys
 - public key: CA
 - public key published to the world
 - private key known only by owner
 - sign bindings of keys to names (protects integrity)
 - verifiable by multiple parties
 - third party certifies or distributes keys
 - certification infrastructure
 - authentication



Key Management Overview (Cont...)

Classes of crypto

one-time pad (truely random)

- most secure, not vulnerable to attacks
- if pseudo-random number generator used, must have large IV
- problem: key size must be as large as data size
- limited applications

Ex: submarines

- visual cryptography (next page)
- conventional: n² keys

public key: 2n keys

• *n* is number of parties









Grey or Color Image

If a pixel value is not just black/white

- grey images real value between 0 (black) and 1 (white)
- color images RGB, real value between 0 and 1 in each component color
- in both cases, can approximate with pure black and white values
- > Two basic approaches
 - → thresholding -- e.g., replace value by 1 if intensity ≥ 0.5 and replace value by 0 if intensity < 0.5</p>
 - error diffusion -- start with thresholding, carry error into the next pixel



Error Diffusion

Error diffusion

- if a pixel value is 0.8, approximate it with 1, the difference
 (0.2) is the error
- if the next pixel value is 0.3, the error in the previoius pixel is subtracted, the resulting pixel value is 0.1
- **—** 0.8 + 0.3 = 1 + 0.1
- 0.1 is approximated by 0, the new error is 0.1
- keep going



original



thresholding



error diffusion



🛏 Copyright © William C. Cheng

Key Management Overview (Cont...)

- Who needs strong secrets anyway? (sometimes, secrets are not needed, what is really needed is *integrity of association*)
 users?
 - need to prove identity
 - -- start with something not that confidential (SS#, mother's maiden name)
 - servers?
 - private key is usually sitting on the server!
 - -- not well protected
 - -- should probably put it on a smartcard
 - the Security System?
 - such as Kerberos/KDC, must have strong secrets





Who needs strong secrets anyway? (cont...)

- software?
 - O DRM? (Digital Rights Management)
 - -- does it really work? (e.g., DVD player for Linux)
 - -- is it fair? (the entertainment industry wants everyone to pay for their weak copyright protection)
 - -- MS Palladium (Microsoft's secure computing base) place Microsoft as the gatekeeper of identification and authentication
- and systems?
 - keys for hardware

Secret vs. Public

public: integrity protected



Copyright © William C. Cheng

Practical Use of Keys

- > Email (PEM or S/MIME)
 - hashes and message keys to be distributed and signed
- Conferencing
 - group key management (discussed later)
 - Authentication (discussed later)
- SSL (details later)
 - and other "real time" protocols
 - key establishment





Recovery from Exposed Keys

- Revocation lists (CRLs)
 - Iong lists
 - hard to propogate
- **Lifetime / expiration**
 - short life allows assurance of validity at time of issue
 - Realtime validation
 - Online Certificate Status Protocol (OCSP)
 - privacy concerns? (server knows who you have been communicating with)
- What about existing messages?



Other Key Management Issues

- Key size vs. data size
 - affects security and usability
- Reuse of keys
 - multiple users, multiple messages
 - Initial exchange
 - the bootstrap/registration problem
 - **C** Ex: Web
 - use social security numbers?
 - use "personal" information?
 - -- 2002, Princeton admission official improperly logged into Yale website using "personal" info
 - confidentiality vs. authentication
 - sometimes you do not really need authentication

🛏 Copyright © William C. Cheng -

Other Key Management Issues (Cont...)

- sometimes you do not really need authentication (cont...)
 - -- client is often unauthenticated (server often does not know who the client is)
 - -- long term relationship more important
 - -- if the "real owner" hasn't complained and this client is paying the bills, this client is probably the "real owner"

Security architectures

put some security requirements together



Security Architectures

- DSSA (Distributed Systems Security Architecture)
 - **around 1987, originally from DEC**
 - Ex: how to protect against booting from a CD and access all files on harddrive
 - hardware can checksum OS before loading the OS
 - -- if no match, don't load it
 - -- if match, create a certificate, pass it to the OS
 - delegation is the important issue
 - o workstation can act as user
 - software can act as workstation
 - if given key
 - software can act as developer
 - if checksum validated
 - complete chain needed to assume authority
 - roles provide limits on authority new sub-principal



🛏 Copyright © William C. Cheng -



- Microsoft Authenticode
 - downloadable executables such as Java applets, Windows updates, ActiveX controls uses signed certificates
 - delegate trust to browser
- Proxies (also based on delegation)
- limits on authority explicitly embedded in proxies
- works well with ACL (access control list)
- more on proxies in "authorization"

